- 1			
1	STIP		
2	JAMES W. HOWARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4636		
3	THE HOWARD LAW FIRM 9030 W. Cheyenne Avenue, #210		
4	Las Vegas, NV 89129 Tel: 702-293-4600		
5	Fax: 702-993-4009 Attorneys for Defendant		
6	jhoward@howardlawlv.com		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	VINCENT J. SICA,	Case No. 2:16-cv-00513-GMN-VCF	
9	Plaintiff,		
10	vs.	STIPULATION TO DISMISS EXTRA	
11 12	PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS XI through XX,	CONTRACTUAL CLAIMS	
13	Defendants.		
14	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff VINCENT J. SICA, an		
15	Defendant PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY, by and through their respective		
16			
17	bad faith, (2) Defendant Progressive Direct Insurance Company's alleged statutory violations of NRS		
18	686A.310(b) and (3) the prayer for punitive damages are dismissed. The parties further stipulate that		
19	The state of the s		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## Case 2:16-cv-00513-GMN-VCF Document 25 Filed 01/12/17 Page 2 of 3

1 Sica's claim, including but not limited to, the defense that there was no contact between Mr. Sica's motorcycle and the vehicle Mr. Sica alleges hit his motorcycle and fled. DATED this 9th day of January, 2017. 3 4 THE HOWARD LAW FIRM VANNAH & VANNAH 5 /s/James W. Howard /s/John B. Greene 6 By: By: James W. Howard, Esq. John B. Greene, Esq. 7 Nevada Bar No. 4636 Nevada Bar No. 4279 400 S. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 9030 W. Cheyenne Avenue, #210 8 Las Vegas, NV 89129 Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendants 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
3		ī	
4	VINCENT J. SICA,	Case No. 2:16-cv-00513-GMN-VCF	
5	Plaintiff,		
6	VS.	ORDER	
7	PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS XI through XX,		
9	Defendants.		
10	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation it is hereby:		
11	ORDERED that Plaintiff Vincent J. Sica's extra contractual causes of action for (1) bad faith, (2)		
12	Defendant Progressive Direct Insurance Company's alleged statutory violations of NRS 686A.310(b) and		
13	(3) the prayer for punitive damages are dismissed;		
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Sica may maintain his action for contractual uninsured		
15	motorist benefits against Defendant Progressive, though Defendant Progressive retains the right to raise		
16	all appropriate defenses to Plaintiff Sica's claim, including but not limited to, the defense that there was		
17	no contact between Mr. Sica's motorcycle and the vehicle Mr. Sica alleges hit his motorcycle and fled.		
18	DATED this 12 day of Janvary, 2017.		
19			
20			
21	Mail		
22	The Honorable Gloria M. Navarro United States District Judge.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			